

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/856,274		05/18/2001	Sulayman D. Dib-Hajj		5193
26161	7590	11/28/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI		OSON PC	PAK, MICHAEL D		
P.O. BOX 1 MINNEAPO		N 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ĺ			1646	
				DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	09/856,274	DIB-HAJJ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Pak	1646
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 S</u>	entember 2006	
<u> </u>	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		esecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	
Disposition of Claims		
· <u> </u>	e to the court of the	
4) Claim(s) <u>20-31,35,36 and 40-44</u> is/are pending	, ,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>20-31, 35-36, 40-44</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	յ (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	монт г фриосион

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendment filed September 7, 2006 has been entered. Claims 20-31, 34-36, and 40-44 are examined below.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed September 7, 2005, have been fully considered but they are not found persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 20-31, 35-36 and 40-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Williams (US 5,731,284) with evidence by Mayer et al. (US 5,352,683).

The reason for the rejection has been set forth in the previous office action.

Williams teaches the method of administering GDNF in an amount effective to treat neural injury (columns 16-18). Williams teach that GDNF is useful for the treatment of nerve damage and recites Alzheimer's disease as a cause of nerve damage.(column 5, line 10). Furthermore, Williams teaches that neurotrophic factors are useful for treating the degeneration of nerve cells and loss of differentiated function that results from many different types of nerve damage including physical injury, damage due to ischemia, neurotoxins, neuropathy due to chronic metabolic diseases such as diabetes, and

neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson's, Alzheimer's diseases and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (column 1, lines 12-33). The GDNF administration inherently affects sodium channel. The treatment with GDNF inherently alleviate the pain. The GDNF administration inherently affect the sodium channel current flow or expression. The administered GDNF of Williams will inherently affect the dorsal root ganglia or trigeminal neurons which are present in the administered animal or person. The sodium channels in the administered mammal inherently binds the lectin.

Mayer et al. provide evidence that neuropathic pain is due to damage to peripheral nerves or to central nervous system (column 1). Mayer et al. teach that metabolic disorders such as diabetes may be related to abnormal functioning of the pain related regions of the nervous system (column 1).

Applicants argue that administered GDNF would not inherently treat pain.

However, GDNF is administered and would inherently on the neuropathic pain associated with diabetes or other metabolic disease or neurotoxins.

5. Claims 20-31, 34-36 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lin et al. (WO 93/06116) with evidence by Mayer et al. (US 5,352,683).

The reason for the rejection has been set forth in the previous office action.

Lin et al. teach treatment by administering GDNF for nerve damage due to diabetes and Parkinson's disease (pages 3-4, 37-42 and 106-109).

The GDNF administration inherently affects sodium channel. The treatment with GDNF inherently alleviate the pain. The GDNF administration inherently affect the sodium

Application/Control Number: 09/856,274

Art Unit: 1646

5).

channel current flow or expression. The administered GDNF of Williams will inherently affect the dorsal root ganglia or trigeminal neurons which are present in the adminstered animal or person. The sodium channels in the administered mammal inherently binds the lectin.

Mayer et al. provide evidence that neuropathic pain is due to damage to peripheral nerves or to central nervous system (column 1). Mayer et al. teach that metabolic disorders such as diabetes may be related to abnormal functioning of the pain related regions of the nervous system (column 1).

Applicants argue that administered GDNF would not inherently treat pain. However, GDNF is administered and would inherently on the neuropathic pain associated with diabetes or other metabolic disease or neurotoxins.

6. Claims 20-31, 34-36 and 40-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yan et al. (US 5,641,749) with evidence by Mayer et al. (US 5,352,683).

The reason for the rejection has been set forth in the previous office action.

Yan et al. teach the treatment of retinal ganglion cell injury such as glaucoma, physical injury, ischemia, neurotoxin, metabolic diseases such as diabetes, and neurodegerative diseases such as Parkinson's using GDNF (columns 1-5,14-20 and 25-6). Yan et al. teach that glaucoma can be characterized by painful eye (column 3, line 39). Yan et al. disclose the dosage of 1 ug/kg/day of GDNF administration (columns 4Application/Control Number: 09/856,274 Page 5

Art Unit: 1646

Mayer et al. provide evidence that neuropathic pain is due to damage to peripheral nerves or to central nervous system (column 1). Mayer et al. teach that metabolic disorders such as diabetes may be related to abnormal functioning of the pain related regions of the nervous system (column 1).

Applicants argue that administered GDNF would not inherently treat pain.

However, GDNF is administered and would inherently on the neuropathic pain associated with diabetes or other metabolic disease or neurotoxins.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/856,274 Page 6

Art Unit: 1646

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pak whose telephone number is 571-272-0879. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30 to 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol, can be reached on 571-272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Pak

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1646

20 November 2006

Hickory D. Ask